PUNJAB STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION SITE NO. 3, BLOCK B, SECTOR 18-A MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH

Petition No. 54 of 2024 Date of Order: 10.01.2025

Petition under Regulation 64, 68, 69, 70, 71 and 72 and other relevant provisions of Chapter XIII of the Conduct of Business Regulations 2005 as amended up to date and regulations 44 to 47 of the supply code, 2014 and the provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 and other relevant rules and Regulations as framed by Hon'ble Commission for directing the respondent – PSPCL to reduce the contract demand of the petitioner from 14000 KVA to 11875 KVA, more particularly, in terms of the requisition made vide communication dated 18.10.2023.

And

For passing any other relief in favour of the Petitioner as this Hon'ble Commission may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the cases.

In the matter of:

M/s Indian Acrylics Limited having its corporate office at SCO 49-50-51, Sector 26, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh – 160019 through its executive director.

...Petitioner

Versus

- 1. Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL), The Mall, Patiala, through its Chairman and Managing Director.
- 2. Chief Engineer, Operations, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, The Mall, Patiala, Punjab.
- 3. Deputy Chief Engineer (Operations), Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Sangrur, Punjab.

...Respondent

Commission: Sh. Viswajeet Khanna, Chairperson

Sh. Paramjeet Singh, Member

Petitioner: Sh. Aditya Grover, Advocate (through VC)

ORDER

The petition was taken up for hearing on admission. The Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner vide communication dated 18.10.2023 requested PSPCL to reduce the contract demand from 14000 kVA to 11875 kVA. However, PSPCL has refused to accept the request of the petitioner for want of Additional ACD in terms of the order dated 17.03.2023 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CWP

I.O- 01

No. 23134 of 2019. There is no direction in the order dated 17.03.2023 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court with regard to payment of Additional ACD for reduction in the contract demand and the action of PSPCL is unjust and unsustainable in the eyes of law. Moreover, PSPCL has filed an LPA against the order dated 17.03.2023 and no stay has been granted in the LPA. After hearing the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner, the petition is admitted.

Notice be issued to the respondents. The respondents may file reply to the petition within two weeks with a copy to the petitioner. The petitioner may file rejoinder thereto, if any, within one week thereafter.

The petition shall be taken up for hearing on 27.02.2025 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-(Paramjeet Singh) Member Sd/-(Viswajeet Khanna) Chairperson

Chandigarh

Dated: 10.01.2025

I.O- 01